

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,919	01/31/2001	Kazutaka Kochi	122.1435	9988
21171	7590 10/03/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			BOUTAH, ALINA A	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2143	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/772,919	KOCHI ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Alina N Boutah	2143		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address		
Period fo	• •				
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perestore reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum or riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) atute, cause the application to becon	by a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BE ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	7 July 2006.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal r	natters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with				
	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-13</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 7 and 14-17 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement			
Applicat	on Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in ab-	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drav	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum		C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received	in Application No		
	3. \square Copies of the certified copies of the p	oriority documents have b	een received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies	not received.		
Attachmen		- 7 .	0 (070 ::::)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date		
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) L Other	·		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed July 7, 2006. Claims 1-17 are pending in the present application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 7, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 9,078,960 issued to Ballard in view of Microsoft Computer Dictionary (hereinafter referred to as "MCD").

Application/Control Number: 09/772,919

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 7, Ballard teaches an administrative unit managing a plurality of information apparatuses, comprising:

a measuring operating time of each of said plurality of information apparatuses (figure 4A-B; col. 6, lines 3-19); and

a determining unit for determining rotation candidates among said plurality of information apparatuses, based on respective accumulated operating times of said plurality of information apparatuses, with a view to equalizing the accumulated operating times of said respective information apparatuses and notifying said rotation candidate information apparatuses to that effect (col. 5, lines 12-41; col. 6, lines 31-48).

Although Ballard does not explicitly disclose "measuring operating time of each of a plurality of information apparatuses," as claimed, the PTO respectfully submits that this is taught in figures 4A-B of Ballard. Specifically, these figures illustrate an example of a common data that is store on each of the ISP servers, which consists of the load of each server. In this case, the "load" is interpreted as "operating time" as claimed by the invention. As known in the art of computing, load is defined as "the total computing burden a system carries at one time." One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that when a computer system carries a burden, there is inherently a usage or an operating time associated with it.

The cited area of Ballard, specifically col. 5, line 36-37 and col. 6, line 47 teach load balancing by algorithm such as round robin. By definition, "round robin" is a sequential, cyclical allocation of resources to more than one process or device."

At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to collect accumulating usage time of information apparatuses in order to centrally

Art Unit: 2143

collect the status of the information apparatuses, therefore allowing administrators to control the equipments, thus facilitating the terminal maintenance.

Claims 14-16 are similar to claim 7, therefore are also rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claim 17, Ballard teaches a computer readable recording medium as set forth in claim 16, having recorded further a program: downloading whole contents relevant to operating environments associated with another computer from said administrative unit for storage after having performed said backup process (col. 2, lines 6-17; col. 5, lines 12-41; col. 6, lines 31-64).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6 and 8-13 are allowed.

The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of "determining rotation candidates among said plurality of information apparatuses and sending information apparatuses rotation messages to said rotation candidates" and "backup processing data stored in the rotation candidate information apparatuses in accordance with the messages" as claimed in the independent claims 1, 4, 8, 11 and 12.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,919

Art Unit: 2143

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alina N. Boutah whose telephone number is 571-272-3908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00 am - 5:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ANB

ANB

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5